



## Teaching Assistant Evaluation, Fall 2015

Area	Crs	Sec	Sem	Eval	Enrl	Title	Instructor(s)	TA(s)
ECON	2160	01	fall2015	F2015F	25	Strategic Analysis	(Wooders, Myrna)	<b>Samad, Zeeshan</b>

**1.** (VUAS-econ\_T\_1:S1) How much did you interact with the TA during the course of the semester? Examples of interaction would be meetings during (or in substitution for) office hours, attendance at review sessions led by the TA, or supervision of group projects by the TA.

1. Not at all	2. Once or twice during the entire semester	3. About once or twice per month	4. About once per week	5. More than once per week	Total	Average	St. Dev.
0	3	8	1	2	14	3.14	0.91
0%	21%	57%	7%	14%			

**2.** (VUAS-econ\_T\_2:S2) (If you believe that you don't have enough information, leave the following questions blank) How available was the TA during their office hours?

1. Poor	2. Marginal	3. Average	4. Good	5. Excellent	Total	Average	St. Dev.
0	0	0	4	6	10	4.6	0.48
0%	0%	0%	40%	60%			

**3.** (VUAS-econ\_T\_3:S3) How helpful and responsive was the TA?

1. Poor	2. Marginal	3. Average	4. Good	5. Excellent	Total	Average	St. Dev.
0	1	0	5	8	14	4.42	0.82
0%	7%	0%	36%	57%			

**4.** (VUAS-econ\_T\_4:S4) How knowledgeable was the TA about the subject matter of this class?

1. Poor	2. Marginal	3. Average	4. Good	5. Excellent	Total	Average	St. Dev.
0	0	0	2	12	14	4.85	0.34
0%	0%	0%	14%	86%			

**5.** (VUAS-econ\_T\_5:S5) How would you characterize the ability of the TA to communicate in English with students?

1. Poor	2. Marginal	3. Average	4. Good	5. Excellent	Total	Average	St. Dev.
0	0	0	4	10	14	4.71	0.45
0%	0%	0%	29%	71%			

6. (VUAS-econ\_T\_6:S6) (Answer the following two questions if the TA gave a review session that you attended or supervised a group project). How clearly did the TA articulate material and answer questions in these sessions?

1. Poor	2. Marginal	3. Average	4. Good	5. Excellent	Total	Average	St. Dev.
0	0	0	2	8	10	4.8	0.40
0%	0%	0%	20%	80%			

7. (VUAS-econ\_T\_7:S7) How helpful and responsive was the TA during these sessions?

1. Poor	2. Marginal	3. Average	4. Good	5. Excellent	Total	Average	St. Dev.
0	0	0	3	7	10	4.7	0.45
0%	0%	0%	30%	70%			

8. (VUAS-econ\_T\_8:S8) General comments about the Teaching Assistant:(50% responding. Comments follow below...)

<b>8. (VUAS-econ_T_8:S8) General comments about the Teaching Assistant:</b>
<b>• ECON 2160-01 fall2015 F2015F (Samad, Zeeshan)</b>
A godsend in such a tumultuous class
Zeeshan was the only hope for this course. I wish he had been the one teaching the class; I would have learned a lot more and made much better grades. He was always willing to help us, and he was present in the class everyday, doing things for the professor, and even teaching when she didn't come. Best TA I've ever had. Very clear and helpful
Great TA and geniunely nice guy who wanted to help people who came to his office hours.
Zeeshan was the voice of reason in this class. He is significantly better at understanding and communicating game theory to the class than the professor is. He handled the ineptitude of the professor well and was prompt and fair in his grading. He also explained problems in a much more straight forward manner than the professor.
This was my favorite teaching assistant so far at Vanderbilt. Zeeshan is extremely knowledgeable, helpful, and flexible in helping students. I felt that I learned more when he taught class than when our regular professor did. Very reasonable and fair grader as well.
Great TA. Seemed to know the material better than the professor.
Probably the only reason I did not drop the course. Zeeshan essentially held the class together.