



Teaching Assistant Evaluation, Fall 2015

Area	Crs	Sec	Sem	Eval	Enrl	Title	Instructor(s)	TA(s)
ECON	3010	04	fall2015	F2015F	32	Intermed Microecon Thry	(Leo, Gregory)	Samad, Zeeshan

1. (VUAS-econ_T_1:S1) How much did you interact with the TA during the course of the semester? Examples of interaction would be meetings during (or in substitution for) office hours, attendance at review sessions led by the TA, or supervision of group projects by the TA.

1. Not at all	2. Once or twice during the entire semester	3. About once or twice per month	4. About once per week	5. More than once per week	Total	Average	St. Dev.
3	4	8	2	2	19	2.78	1.15
16%	21%	42%	11%	11%			

2. (VUAS-econ_T_2:S2) (If you believe that you don't have enough information, leave the following questions blank) How available was the TA during their office hours?

1. Poor	2. Marginal	3. Average	4. Good	5. Excellent	Total	Average	St. Dev.
1	0	1	3	7	12	4.25	1.16
8%	0%	8%	25%	58%			

3. (VUAS-econ_T_3:S3) How helpful and responsive was the TA?

1. Poor	2. Marginal	3. Average	4. Good	5. Excellent	Total	Average	St. Dev.
1	1	1	2	9	14	4.21	1.26
7%	7%	7%	14%	64%			

4. (VUAS-econ_T_4:S4) How knowledgeable was the TA about the subject matter of this class?

1. Poor	2. Marginal	3. Average	4. Good	5. Excellent	Total	Average	St. Dev.
1	0	2	3	9	15	4.26	1.12
7%	0%	13%	20%	60%			

5. (VUAS-econ_T_5:S5) How would you characterize the ability of the TA to communicate in English with students?

1. Poor	2. Marginal	3. Average	4. Good	5. Excellent	Total	Average	St. Dev.
2	2	0	3	8	15	3.86	1.49
13%	13%	0%	20%	53%			

6. (VUAS-econ_T_6:S6) (Answer the following two questions if the TA gave a review session that you attended or supervised a group project). How clearly did the TA articulate material and answer questions in these sessions?

1. Poor	2. Marginal	3. Average	4. Good	5. Excellent	Total	Average	St. Dev.
1	2	1	3	7	14	3.92	1.33
7%	14%	7%	21%	50%			

7. (VUAS-econ_T_7:S7) How helpful and responsive was the TA during these sessions?

1. Poor	2. Marginal	3. Average	4. Good	5. Excellent	Total	Average	St. Dev.
1	1	1	3	9	15	4.2	1.22
7%	7%	7%	20%	60%			

8. (VUAS-econ_T_8:S8) General comments about the Teaching Assistant:(42% responding. Comments follow below...)

8. (VUAS-econ_T_8:S8) General comments about the Teaching Assistant:
• ECON 3010-04 fall2015 F2015F (Samad, Zeeshan)
Zeeshan is the most attentive and available TA I've ever had. He was always very prompt to respond via email about homework questions or questions leading up to the test, and I found him very helpful and accommodating during the review sessions before exams. Zeeshan always cared about the students and always took the time to answer all the questions he could. He was also very quick at grading papers, which was especially helpful if the homework was due only a short time before the exam and was helpful to study.
Zeeshan was always available and knowledgeable about his subject. Very fair and very helpful.
great job
Zeeshan is great. He is very good about showing your mistakes on homework in a helpful way and has been a very good lecturer the 3 days he has led class.
Zeeshan was helpful, appreciated his assistance throughout the semester.
Zeeshan was an amazing TA! He was always very willing to help and always at office hours. Was always willing to go back and teach me something as well as allowed me to participate in the interaction. Overall, excellent TA
Zeeshan was extremely efficient, and lead every class before an exam date. He took this responsibility in stride and often received praise for his efforts in the class.
Wasn't thrilled with the quality of the TA; went to office hours and he said was unsure of how to do the problem i presented to him.